



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/580,797

05/26/2006

Alain Guillard

Serie 6423

7256

7590 12/09/2010
Linda K Sussell
Air Liquide
Intellectual Property Department
2700 Post Oak Blvd Ste 1800
Houston, TX 77056

EXAMINER

HAMO, PATRICK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3746

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/09/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10580797	5/26/2006	GUILLARD ET AL.	Serie 6423

Linda K Sussell
Air Liquide
Intellectual Property Department
2700 Post Oak Blvd Ste 1800
Houston, TX 77056

EXAMINER

PATRICK HAMO

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3746	20101206
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The claims listed in the status of claims section are inconsistent with those claims that are on appeal. The proper claim listing and status appears below. The Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal is also inconsistent with the claims that are otherwise appealed. The proper Grounds also appear below. Furthermore, the reference to Wong is added to the attached PTO-892.

Status of Claims:

Claims 13-20 and 22 are pending in the application. Claims 1 - 6 were originally presented in the application and were cancelled with a preliminary amendment. Claims 7 - 12 were added in the same preliminary amendment. Claims 7 - 12 were cancelled in a supplementary preliminary amendment. Claims 13 - 22 were added in the same supplemental preliminary amendment. Claim 21 was subsequently cancelled. Claims 13-20 stand finally rejected as discussed below. The final rejection of claims 13 - 20 are appealed.

Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal:

1. Claims 13-19 stand reiected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Barchas et al. (US 5,082,481) in view Kaellis. (US 6,808,017)

2. Claim 20 stands reiected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Barchas et al. (US 5,082,481) in view Kaellis (US 6,808,017) and further in view of Wong (US 6,685,903).

/Devon C Kramer/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746